

~~SECRET~~
Security Information

15 May 1953

25X1A

MEMORANDUM FOR: []

SUBJECT

: Follow-Up on Items Discussed in GPP's Memorandum to You dated 4 May 1953

1. As you requested, I have checked into the specific instances cited in GPP's memorandum of the apparent diffidence of CIA representatives on the various PSD panels. The results of my investigation are as follows:

25X1A

25X1A

a. Middle East Panel. [] CIA representative —

[] emphatically denied the allegations of the complaint. Since the approval of PSD D-22 (Middle East Plan) and his appointment as CIA representative on the "Coordinating Panel," the panel has held one meeting, at which it was agreed to send D-22 to the field for comment and that no further meetings would be held until a report from the field was received. No further meetings have been held. [] says that he entered fully into the discussions and agreed to State's setting up a panel at each major station. He also said that CIA personnel in the field have been instructed to participate in these panels but not to discuss CIA operations.

25X1A

25X1A

If Mr. [] trouble stems from panel activities prior to approval of the plan, the CIA representative at that time was [] Mr. Leavitt states that [] participated strongly in the drafting of the plan.

25X1A

25X1A


25X1A

25X1A

25X1

An interesting

SECRET



25X1

25X1

25X1A

If [redacted] difficulty stems from activities of the panel before approval of the plan, [redacted] is of the emphatic opinion that if it had not been for CIA leadership in drafting the plan there would not be today a plan in existence. He states that at the time the panel was originally formed the PCE had no format and no ideas and the FX Division of CIA organized a committee of FX desk people who developed the plan, which was then shown to the State representative and lobbied through the panel by CIA and the State Department. [redacted] says that the Defense representative did nothing toward the development of the plan until its latter stages. [redacted] also felt that if CPP were willing, it might be a good idea if he ([redacted]) and [redacted] had a meeting with CPP to go into the history of the matter in detail.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

2. Conclusions:

25X1A

Although I have no knowledge of the exact nature or basis for [redacted]'s complaint, the interesting thing to me is that the three cases cited are all those involving completed plans which are now in the initial stages of field development. It may be that the trouble is not so much the lack of participation in panel discussions by the CIA representatives, as the unwillingness to discuss in panel meetings what steps CIA is taking on the basis of the plan. Insofar as I know, the correct procedure for approval and review of CIA implementing plans has been the 10/5 Committee, and it seems to me that [redacted] is justified in his position.

25X1A

From my

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

From my discussions with all three panel representatives I got the distinct impression that each was sincerely trying to make a contribution to the deliberations of his panel but a contribution which would not conflict with what has always been the understanding that CIA representatives were not to discuss current assets, techniques or operations in these meetings. Before reporting to [] however, it might be well for CPP to meet with the three persons involved and explore the matter further.

25X1A

SIGNED

[]

25X1A

25X1A

[]

~~SECRET~~